## Phonetic evidence for the three phonological pitch levels in Japanese dialects

In the currently standard theory of intonational phonology [1], it is claimed that any accentual  $f_0$  patterns can be generated with High (H) and Low (L) tone targets and interpolation between them, plus  $f_0$  range re-scaling mechanisms across prosodic words. The present study provides further evidence in line with this  $f_0$  production model, but also shows that there are varieties of Japanese for which it is necessary to distinguish 3 phonological pitch levels (H, M and L) to fully capture both  $f_0$  target values, and  $f_0$  range rescaling effects. The data come from three Japanese dialects with different *shiki* systems: shiki are lexical contrasts manifested in word-sized  $f_0$  contour patterns that coexist with pitch accent contrasts [2]. Twelve speakers produced 36 combinations of two nouns with all combinations of the shiki types in the frame sentence (1). The valley and peak  $f_0$  values of the three constituents (V1, P1, V2, P2, V3 and P3) were sampled from a total of 2305 tokens (about 5 repetitions each).

(1)  $[N_1-GEN.]$   $[N_2-NOM.]$  [VP]

e.g., *kodomo-no* namae-ga omoidasen (I can't remember the name of the child.) The results reveal that essentially the same  $f_0$  range compression and expansion mechanisms are at work for the three dialect groups. In Central Kinki (Figure 1a), any HL tone sequence triggers downstep (!H), whether the L is part of an accent or the first tone in a rising shiki in N<sub>2</sub> [3]. In addition, a rising shiki (LH) in N<sub>1</sub> expands the pitch range of N<sub>2</sub>. We call this effect upstep (^H; in a different sense from the  $f_0$  range reset [4]). For Ibukijima (Figure 1b) and Mitoyo, HL triggers a larger downstep (!!H) and HM and ML trigger smaller (!H) downstep. V2 values reveal the distinction of H, M and L tone levels in word-sized units. Furthermore, the 3-way distinction is also manifested at V3 under the downstep and upstep effects that are carried over to V3 and P3. The findings suggest that the H-M-L tone distinction is necessary, independent of these pitch range rescaling mechanisms.



**Figure 1:** Bayesian estimates of the mean  $f_0$  values at V2 (valley between  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ ) and P2 (peak of  $N_2$ ) as a function of  $N_1$ - $N_2$  shiki-accent types (e.g., L-A:  $N_1$ =Level-shiki-unaccented/ $N_2$ =Accented; R-F:  $N_1$ =Rising-shiki-unaccented/ $N_2$ =Falling-shiki-unaccented).

## References

- [1] Ladd, R. (2008). Intonational phonology 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Uwano, Z. (1989). Nihongo-no akusento. In Sugito, M. (ed.) Kooza nihongo-to nihongokyooiku, Vol.2. (pp. 178-205). Tokyo: Meiji Shoin.
- [3] Pierrehumbert, J. and Beckman, M. (1988) Japanese tone structure. MIT Press.
- [4] Truckenbrodt, H. (2002). Upstep and embedded register levels. *Phonology*, 19, 77-120.