Clausal nominalization as a complementation strategy in Nivkh

Ekaterina Gruzdeva

(University of Helsinki)

Nivkh (Paleosiberian) is a polysynthetic verb-final language that makes extensive use of clause combining. The only complementation strategy, in terms of (Dixon & Aikhenvald 2006), is clausal nominalization that scopes over the entire clause and allows it to function as an object argument of a matrix predicate. As a result, the complement clause is reanalyzed as a nominal constituent of a matrix clause.

A nominalized verb form that continues to function as a head of a complement clause does not acquire nominal morphological features and maintains some verbal categories, including tense-aspect marking. It synthesizes with the matrix predicate, triggering the consonant alternation, which serves in Nivkh as a marker of morphosyntactic units (Mattissen 2003).

On the basis of Nivkh data, the paper examines the patterns of lexical and clausal nominalizations, cf. (Koptjevskaja-Tamm 1993, Comrie & Thompson 2007, Genetti 2011), together with morphological and syntactic aspects of deverbalization and substantivization, cf. (Malchukov 2004), the polyfunctionality of the nominalization marker and the semantic features of complement-taking predicates, cf. (Cristofaro 2003, Noonan 2007). Furthermore, the paper compares the structural similarities and differences both between nominalized and matrix clauses and between same-subject and different-subject complement constructions, cf. (Nedjalkov & Otaina 2013).

References

Comrie, Bernard & Sandra A. Thompson. 2007. Lexical nominalization. In: Shopen, T. (ed.), *Language Typology and Syntactic Description*, vol. 3, 2nd edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 334–381.

Cristofaro, Sonia. 2003. Subordination. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Dixon, R.M.W. & Alexandra Aikhenvald. 2006. *Complementation: A Cross-Linguistic Typology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Genetti, Carol. 2011. Nominalization in Tibeto-Burman languages of the Himalayan area. A typological perspective. In: Yap, F.H., K. Grunow-Hårsta & Ja. Wroona (eds.), *Nominalization in Asian Languages*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 163–193.

Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria. 1993. Nominalizations. London: Routledge.

Malchukov, Andrei. 2004. Nominalization/Verbalization: Constraining a Typology of Transcategorial Operations. München: LINCOM.

Mattissen, Johanna. 2003. Dependent-Head Synthesis in Nivkh. A Contribution to a Typology of Polysynthesis. Amsterdam: Benjamins.

Nedjalkov, Vladimir P. & Galina A. Otaina. 2013. *A Syntax of the Nivkh Language. The Amur Dialect*. Geniušiene, E.Š. & E. Gruzdeva (eds.). Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

February 22-23, 2014

NINJAL, Tokyo

Noonan, Michael. 2007. Complementation. In: Shopen, T. (ed.), *Language Typology and Syntactic Description*, vol. 2, 2nd edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 52–150.