## The use of mimetics and gesture among speakers of Japanese as a second language

Noriko Iwasaki	Keiko Yoshioka
SOAS, University of London	Leiden University

Japanese mimetics are often reported to be difficult for speakers of Japanese as a second/foreign language (L2). Recent work examining L2 Japanese learners' comprehension/perception of mimetics (Naito-Billen 2013; Nakaishi, Sakamoto & Sakai 2014) found that understanding mimetics is indeed challenging even for advanced learners (Nakaishi et al. 2014). Research on production found that L2 Japanese speakers rarely produce mimetics until they are at least intermediate-level (Iwasaki 2008, forthcoming; Yoshioka forthcoming).

The difficulty of mimetics is at odds with the facilitative role of iconicity (i.e. word forms resembling referents) for children's first language (L1) development (Imai et al. 2008; Yo-shida 2012). However, L2 speakers greatly differ from L1 children in that they already have knowledge of their own L1. Their habitual use of L1 likely has 'trained' them for the ways they should lexicalize and linearize the concepts they are conveying—as proposed in the Thinking-for-Speaking hypothesis (Slobin 1991). For instance, English speakers use manner verbs and Japanese speakers use (mimetic) adverbs or adverbial clauses to habitually encode manner of motion. Their language may also train them to pay attention to features of the motion events that are linked to their language. Researchers found that L2 speakers' L1 affects their construal of motion events (e.g. Jarvis & Pavlenko 2008; Pavelenko 2014).

Iconic words like mimetics may be fundamentally different from other words. Whether L2 Japanese speakers' L1 has a rich repertoire of such words (e.g. Korean) or not (e.g. English) may affect the ways they use mimetics. Mimetics are frequently accompanied by co-speech iconic gestures (Dingemanse 2013; Kita 1997), and by some accounts, both mimetics and gesture reflect the imagistic and motor sensory nature of thought (Kita 2000). On the one hand, given that mimetics, and especially phonomimes, can imitate the perceptual aspects of referents and that gestures can capture imagery, these two modalities may be a handy communicative tool for L2 speakers to depict events regardless of L1. On the other hand, whether their L1 utilizes such words or not may affect their use of mimetics. Given the close integration between speech and gesture (Kendon 2004; McNeill 1992; Kita & Özyürek 2003), their L1 may also affect their use of gesture when speaking Japanese as L2.

In order to examine L1 influence and iconicity in L2, we examine the use of mimetics by L2 Japanese speakers whose L1 is English (N=13) and Korean (N=17), who narrated video-clips of animation (motion events) and disasters. We examine the domains where mimetics are used (e.g. types of movement), types of mimetics used, the co-occurrence of gesture and speech (frequency and qualitative analysis), and the meaning expressed by mimetics and gesture.

The preliminary analyses show that both groups of L2 speakers used phonomimes and phenomimes, though Korean speakers were more likely to produce mimetics to depict momentum of movement. The syntactic functions seem to differ. L1 English speakers tend to use Japanese mimetics as verbs while L1 Korean speakers use them as adverbs. The cooccurence between speech and gesture was often observed regardless of their L1.

## References

- Dingemanse, Mark. 2013. Ideophones and gesture in everyday speech. *Gesture* 13(2). 143–165.
- Imai, Mutsumi, Sotaro Kita, Miho Nagumo & Hiroyuki Okada. 2008. Sound symbolism facilitates early verb learning. *Cognition* 109(1). 54–65.
- Iwasaki, Noriko. 2008. *Dai-ni-gengo-to site-no nihongo-no giongo/gitaigo-no syuutoku: KY-koopasu-ni mirareru eigo-bogo-wasya-to kankokugo-bogo-wasya-no giongo/gitaigo-no siyoo*. Paper presented at the Sixth Biannual International Conference on Practical Linguistics of Japanese, 1<sup>st</sup>-2<sup>nd</sup> March 2008, San Francisco State University, CA.
- Iwasaki, N. (forthcoming). Grammar of Japanese mimetics used by English and Korean leaners of L2 Japanese in KY Corpus interviews: Does L1-L2 similarity help? In Iwasaki, N., Sells, P., & Akita, K. (eds.) *The grammar of Japanese mimetics: Perspectives from structure, acquisition and translation*. Routledge.
- Jarvis, Scott & Aneta Pavlenko. 2008. Crosslinguistic influence in language and cognition. Routledge.
- Kendon, Adam. 2004. *Gesture: Visible action as utterance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kita, Sotaro. 1997. Two-dimensional semantic analysis of Japanese mimetics. *Linguistics* 35(2). 379–416.
- Kita, Sotaro. 2000. How representational gestures help speaking. In McNeill, D. (eds.) *Language and gesture* (pp. 162-185). Cambridge University Press.
- Kita, Sotaro & Asli Özyürek. 2003. What does cross-linguistic variation in semantic coordination of speech and gesture reveal?: Evidence for an interface representation of spatial thinking and speaking. *Journal of Memory and Language* 48(1). 16–32.
- McNeill, David. 1992. *Hand and mind: What gestures reveal about thought*. University of Chicago press.
- Naito-Billen, Yuka. 2013. An exploration of Japanese mimetics perception: Comparison among native speakers, adult learners, and non-speakers of Japanese. *Educational Studies*, 55. 293-301.
- Nakaishi, Yuko, Saori Sakamoro & Hiromu Sakai. 2014. "Harahara" wa "genki na yōsu"? Chūgokugo-o bogo to suru gakushūsha-o taishō to sita onomatope to seishiga no macchingu jikken no kekka kara. *Chūgokugo washa no tame no Nihongo Kyōiku Kenkyū* 5. 31–45.
- Pavlenko, Aneta. 2014. *The bilingual mind: And what it tells us about language and thought.* Cambridge University Press.
- Slobin, Dan I. 1991. Learning to think for speaking: Native language, cognition, and rhetorical style. *Pragmatics* 1(1). 7–25.
- Yoshida, Hanako. 2012. A cross-linguistic study of sound symbolism in children's verb learning. *Journal of Cognition and Development* 13(2). 232–265.
- Yoshioka, Keiko. forthcoming. Acquisition of mimetics and the development of proficiency in L2 Japanese: A longitudinal case study of an L1 Dutch speaker's speech and gesture. In Iwasaki, N., Sells, P., & Akita, K. (eds.) *The grammar of Japanese mimetics: Perspectives from structure, acquisition and translation*. Routledge.